COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF MULBERRY ENTERPRISES, INC., FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE FOR SMALL UTILITIES

CASE NO. 9469

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. The Staff Audit Report for Mulberry Enterprises, Inc., ("Mulberry") attached hereto as Appendix A shall be included as a part of the record in this proceeding.
- 2. Mulberry shall have until the close of business February 28, 1986, to file written comments concerning the contents of Appendix A.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 20th day of February, 1986.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Vice Chairman

ATTEST:

Secretary

APPENDIX A

REPORT ON LIMITED AUDIT

of

MULBERRY ENTERPRISES, INC.

PREFACE

On November 25, 1985, Mulberry Enterprises, Inc., ("Mulberry") filed, pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076 (Alternative Rate Filing), an application seeking an increase in rates. The proposed rates would generate \$12,909 annually in additional revenues.

As part of its endeavor to shorten and simplify the regulatory process, the Commission staff chose to perform a limited financial audit in order to verify test-period expenditures and substantiate the propriety of the test-year financial statements. As a result of this audit, the need for written data requests and the overall expense to the utility in this case should be substantially reduced. The audit was conducted by Mr. Carl Combs of the Division of Rates and Tariffs on January 21 and 22, 1986, at the office of Robert C. Bass, Certified Public Accountant, in Frankfort, Kentucky.

SCOPE

The examination consisted of an analysis and review of major cash disbursements and related financial records for calendar year 1984, which is the test year in this case. The audit was limited to a review for proper accounting treatment of expenses charged to the following accounts:

Account Number(s)	Account			
701	Labor and Expenses			
702	Rents			
703	Fuel and Power			
704	Chemicals			
705	Miscellaneous Supplies and Expenses			
714	Maintenance of Plant			
903-A	Agency Collection Fee			
921	Office Supplies and Other Expenses			
923	Outside Services			
924	Insurance Expense			
403	Depreciation Expense			
408	Taxes Other than Income Taxes			
427	Interest on Long-Term Debt			

Reconciliation tests were performed on the aforementioned accounts and supporting documentation was also examined to determine whether costs reflected in the above accounts were appropriately expensed under the requirements of the Uniform System of Accounts for Class C and D Sewer Utilities.

FINDINGS

A review of Mulberry's accounting system revealed no major problems. Test-year charges to the 13 accounts listed in the previous section of this report were reconciled to the detailed trial balance except in two instances. Also, it was determined that two outlays of a capital nature, which should have been capitalized, were expensed.

In support of test-year wages for Mr. Paul Mulberry, Mr. Mulberry provided a calendar on which he recorded the number of hours worked at the sewage treatment plants. Entries showed only the number of hours worked on a given day with no details as to what was done. No further documentation was made available regarding actual work performed by Mr. Mulberry.

An examination of test-year cancelled checks revealed that, during 1984, 26 checks for contract labor were paid to Mr. Mulberry for a total of \$11,140. One check for \$20 for contract labor was paid to another man. Mulberry's 1984 annual report shows that \$6,290 for service contract fees was accrued. The three amounts listed above account for the grand total of \$17,450 charged to Account No. 701-C--Other-Labor, Materials and Expenses for the test year.

An examination of 1984 records of Mulberry revealed that the following adjustments are necessary:

1. The main objective of the audit was to assure the propriety of each outlay charged to test-year expenses. An examination of test-year outlays charged to expense accounts revealed that two of the test-year expenditures would benefit more than one accounting period and were of a material amount. An expenditure benefiting more than one accounting period and of a material amount should be charged to appropriate Utility Plant in Service accounts and depreciated over a period approximating the number of accounting periods which the expenditure will benefit. The two outlays improperly expensed were as follows:

A. Check No. 363 dated June 6, 1984, for \$177.45 payable to Purestream, Inc., charged to Account No. 714--Maintenance of Treatment and Disposal Plant. The supporting invoice, dated May 15, 1984, shows that this amount relates to purchase of a motor and feed tubes, equipment which should have been included in plant Account No. 373--Treatment and Disposal Equipment. In this instance, an annual depreciation rate of 20

percent applicable to 7 months is appropriate. Therefore, Account No. 714 has been reduced by \$177.45, Account No. 373 increased by \$177.45 and Account No. 403--Depreciation Expense increased by \$20.70.

B. Check No. 405 dated October 17, 1984, for \$282.62 payable to Lexington Industrial Service Co., Inc., charged to Account No. 714--Maintenance of Treatment and Disposal Plant. The supporting invoice, dated October 17, 1984, shows that this amount pertains to purchase of a motor and bracket, equipment which should have been included in plant Account No. 373--Treatment and Disposal Equipment. In this instance, an annual depreciation rate of 20 percent applicable to 2 months is appropriate. Therefore, Account No. 714 has been reduced by \$282.62, Account No. 373 increased by \$282.62 and Account No. 403--Depreciation Expense increased by \$9.42.

The combined effect of the foregoing analysis on the operating statement is to decrease Account No. 714 by \$460.07 and increase Depreciation Expense by \$30.12.

2. As mentioned previously, charges to two expense accounts did not reconcile to the detailed trial balance. Account No. 714--Maintenance of Treatment and Disposal Plant was understated by \$287.59 which Account No. 403--Depreciation Expense was overstated by a like amount. An adjustment has been made to correct this error.

The total effect of these adjustments on Mulberry's 1984 operating statement is as follows:

Acct.		Test Year per Annual Report		Staff Audit Adjustments	
	Operating Revenues	\$	31,991	\$ -0-	\$ 31,991
	Operating Expenses:				
701-C	Sludge Hauling	\$	50	\$ -0- -0-	\$ 50
	Water Cost		1,533	-	1,533
701-C	Other-Labor, Materials		17,450 1,200	-0- -0-	17,450
702	Rents Fuel and Power		4,856	-0-	1,200 4,85 6
703	Chemicals		175	-0-	175
705			987	-0-	987
714			747	<173>	574
. – •			4,799	-0-	4,799
921	Office Supplies		440	-0-	440
923	Outside Services		1,357	-0-	1,357
924	Insurance Expense		266	-0-	266
403	Depreciation Expense		2,336	<257>	2,079
408	Amortization Expense Taxes Other than		46	-0-	46
	Income Tax		395		395
	Total Operating Expenses	\$	36,637	\$<430>	\$ 36,207
	Net Operating Income	\$ <	4,646>	\$ -0-	\$< 4,216>
	Other Income/(Deductions)	<u>)</u> :			
	Interest and Dividend Income	\$	54	\$ - 0-	\$ 54
	Interest on Long-Term Del	ot _	1,924>		< 1,924>
	Total Other Income,' (Deductions)	<u>\$</u>	(1,870>	\$ -0-	\$< 1,870>
	Net Income	\$	6,516>		\$< 6,086>

CONCLUSION

Mulberry had no major distortions on its 1984 operating statement. Adjustments to correct the minor errors have been made herein. Mulberry should make these adjustments on its books and file a revised 1984 Annual Report to reflect these adjustments. Also, Mulberry should initiate and maintain much better documentation of routine maintenance services, including details of actual work performed.

Carl Combs, Public Utilities Financial Analyst Senior